PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 2 0 JAN 2006

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			
	HER ACTION		fication of Transmittal of International Preliminary tion Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
	filing date (day/month/	•	Priority Date (day/month/year)
	004 (22.04.2004))	23 April 2003 (23.04.2003)
international Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification	ion and IPC		·
IPC ⁸ : C07K 16/46 82006.01)i, A61K 39/395	(2006.01)ì		
Applicant CENTRO DE INMUNOLOGIA MOLECULAR			
This international preliminary examination repo and is transmitted to the applicant according to		by this	International Preliminary Examination Authority
2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>5</u> she	ets, including this c	over shee	et.
	and/or sheets contain	ining rect	cription, claims and/or drawings which have been iffications made before this Authority (see Rule CT).
These annexes consist of a total of	sheets.		
3. This report contains indications relating to the fo	ollowing items:		
I. Basis of the opinion			
II. Priority			
III. Non-establishment of opinion	with regard to nove	lty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability
IV. Lack of unity of invention			·
V. Reasoned statement under Ru citations and explanations su			ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
VI. Certain documents cited			
VII. Certain defects in the internat	ional application		
VIII. Certain observations on the in	ternational applicati	ion	
Date of submission of the demand	Date o	f comple	tion of this report
16 November 2005 (16.11.200	5)	13	January 2006 (13.01.2006)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AT	Autho	rized offi	cer
Austrian Patent Office Dresdner Straße 87			MOSSER R.
A-1200 Vienna			MOODER IX.
Facsimile No. 1/53424/200	Telepl	one No.	1/53424/437

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.	-
PCT/CU 2004/000006	

I.]	Basis of the report
1.	-	regard to the elements of the international application:*
		the international application as originally filed
		the description:
		pages, as originally filed
		pages, filed with the demand pages, filed with the letter of
	\Box	
	لــا	the claims: pages, as originally filed
		pages, as originally fried pages, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages, filed with the demand
		pages, filed with the letter of
		the drawings:
		pages, as originally filed
		pages, filed with the demand pages, filed with the letter of
	Ш	the sequence listing part of the description: pages, as originally filed
ı		pages, filed with the demand
ı		pages, filed with the letter of
2.	whi	h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in ch the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.	Wit prel	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acld sequence disclosed in the international application, the international iminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the international application in printed form.
	\boxtimes	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages
		the claims, Nos
		the drawings, sheets/fig
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
*	in thi	acement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to is report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and
**	70.17 Any 1).

3EST AVAILABLE COPY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.	
PCT/CU 2004/000006	

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step a	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:	and industrial applicability nventive step (to be non obvious), or to be
the entire international application,	
claims Nos. 21, 22.	
because:	
the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 21, 22 relate to require an international preliminary examination (specify): Remark: Although claims 21 and 22 concern the tree body by therapy or a method of diagnosis practised (see PCT Rule 39.1 (iv)) the examination was carried alleged effects.	eatment of the human or animal
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or so no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	said claims Nos. are so unclear that
the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the could be formed. no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.	e description that no meaningful opinion
 A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Admir the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard. the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the 	nistrative Instructions:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/CU 2004/000006

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-26	YE
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-4, 7-12, 14-17, 19, 21-24	YE
	Claims	5, 6, 13, 18, 20, 22, 25, 26	NC
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims	ility (IA) Claims	1-20, 23-26	YE
	21, 22	NC	

Considering the applicant's argumentation in the response to the written opinion (dated 25.11.2004) the examiner does not agree with the opinion of the applicant. The applicant argues that the subject-matters of claims 5, 6, 13, 18, 20, 22, 25, 26 are inventive, because it is difficult to produce humanized chimera antibodies/fragments. It is true that the production of chimera antibodies is not a one-way street process. However, the scope of claims 5, 6, 13, 18, 20, 22, 25, 26 is very broad. These claims concern much more single chain Fv fragments that are really produced. For many antibody fragments the technical problems which go hand in hand with antibody production were solved. The technical difficulties which were solved by the applicant where respected; this is one reason why the subject-matters of claims 1-4, 7-12, 14-17, 19, 21-24 are inventive. These claims concern functional phage-displayed antibody fragments for which the technical difficulties are solved. Only the subject-matter of these claims is supported by the description in a way so that an inventive step can be seen. Therefore, the examiner cannot change his opinion. The following text of the written opinion is a part of this examination report.

Text of the written opinion:

EP 0972782 B1 concerns the murine 14F7 monoclonal antibody produced by the hybridoma with the deposit ECACC 98101901. The present application concerns humanized antibodies derived from said 14F7 monoclonal antibody.

EP 1013761 A2 relates to a humanized chimera antibody comprising a variable region of a mouse monoclonal antibody which is reactive with ganglioside and a human antibody constant region.

A person skilled in the art knows that humanized murine antibodies are less toxic for humans than animal antibodies. EP 1013761 A2 shows methods for the production of hybrid antibodies. Therefore it is obvious that elements from murine and human antibodies can be combined to create new pharmaceutical tools, especially for the identification of tumor associated antigens and treatment of tumor cells. Accordingly, the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/CU 04/00006

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Box V (page 1)

subject-matters of claims 5, 6, 13, 18, 20, 22, 25, 26 are obvious from the above mentioned patent documents.

With sequence databases it can be shown that the sequences respectively the sequence combinations together with the 14 F7 antibody are new. A skilled person does not know which combinations will be the best. Further, it is difficult to find suitable expression systems for the production of chimeric antibodies. However, the examples of the application demonstrate the inventive step of the application. Therefore, novelty and inventive step are recognized for the subject matters of claims 1-4, 7-12, 14-17, 19 and 21-24.

Cancer research, 1995, Vol. 56, No. 22, pages 5165-5172 reveals that unusual gangliosides expressed in tumors may provide the basis for immunological diagnosis and vaccine therapy. But the murine 14F7 monoclonal antibody and derivates thereof are not obvious from this document.

Claims 21 and 22 concern the treatment of the human or animal body by therapy (see PCT Rule 39.1 (iv)). Therefore, industrial applicability is not given for these claims. The industrial applicability for the subject-matters of claims 1-20 and 23-26 is self-evident.